arXiv:1304.4034v1 [math-ph] 15 Apr 2013

ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK LIMIT FOR THE VELOCITY PROCESS OF AN *N*-PARTICLE SYSTEM INTERACTING STOCHASTICALLY

BRUNO V. RIBEIRO AND YVES ELSKENS

ABSTRACT. An N'-particle system with stochastic interactions is considered. Interactions are driven by a Brownian noise term and total energy conservation is imposed. The evolution of the system, in velocity space, is a diffusion on a $(3N'-1)$-dimensional sphere with radius fixed by the total energy. In the $N' \to \infty$ limit, a finite number of velocity components are shown to evolve independently and according to an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

Keywords : particle in a heat bath • propagation of chaos • Kac program • stochastic interactions in many dimensions • diffusion on a sphere • Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process
PACS : 05.40.-a • 05.45.-a • 05.10.Gg
MSC : 34F05 • 60H10 • 82C05 • 60J70

To Etienne Pardoux on his sixty-fifth birthday

preprint submitted for publication

©2013 The authors. Reproduction of this article, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes is permitted.

Date: April 16, 2013.

1.. INTRODUCTION

The ensemble average of the stochastic evolution of a Brownian test particle immersed in a heat bath of constant temperature T is given by the Fokker-Planck equation with prescribed coefficients of diffusion and linear friction [UO30]. The solution to this equation is well known and gives the evolution of the ensemble's probability density function in velocity space.

Kiessling and Lancellotti [KL06] have shown that, if the coefficients of this partial differential equation for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process are chosen to be constant functionals of the solution itself, the equation can be reinterpreted to describe the kinetic evolution of an isolated N'-particle system with stochastic interactions satisfying total mass, energy and momentum conservation. In their work, these authors consider an infinite ensemble of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random N'-dimensional vectors $\{\mathbf{V}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$, each representing a possible microstate of the system in velocity space (in $\mathbb{R}^{3\times N'}$), while particle positions are considered to be uniformly distributed over a periodic box. By a suitable transformation on the $\mathbf{V}_\alpha$'s, they show that the analysis of this N'-particle system with energy and momentum conservation can be done in terms of an N''-particle system with only energy conservation and $N'' = N' - 1$.

Our purpose in this work is to analyse the evolution of the same particle system with stochastic interactions driven by this Brownian noise term, but from a many-body perspective, i.e. we look at a single realization of the system and study its evolution pathwise. According to the arguments of [KL06], it suffices to study the system with only the energy conservation requirement, and so we do here, considering the "velocities" of this effective model as if they related to actual particles (in the spirit of Kac' one-dimensional historical model [Kac56]). This model also belongs in the class of Kac systems in the terminology of [CCL03].

In a 3-dimensional setting, energy conservation bounds the evolution of the system, in velocity space, to an $(N-1)$-dimensional sphere with radius defined by initial energy (with $N = 3N''$). Therefore, the evolution of the system in velocity space can be modeled by the Brownian motion on the given sphere. Thus, we take advantage of the works of Stroock [St71] and Brillinger [Br97], where the random evolution of a single particle on a sphere was modeled with stochastic integrals, to write the proper differential equations for the evolution of the N-dimensional vector $\mathbf{V}$ of all the velocity components for the N' particles. Singling out one component of $\mathbf{V}$ (call it V_1), we show it to converge, in the $N \to \infty$ limit, to an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process [UO30], which is commonly used to describe the evolution of a single particle under "white noise" and friction.

2.. OUTLINE AND STRATEGY

We look at the diffusion of a 3-dimensional N''-particle system which obeys conservation of energy. This requirement bounds the evolution of the system, in velocity space, to a $(3N''-1)$-dimensional sphere with radius defined by initial energy. The dynamics of the system is given by a single stochastic differential equation for a ($N = 3N''$)-dimensional velocity vector ($\mathbf{V}$) driven by an N-dimensional Brownian noise term introduced in Sec. 3.

A quick look at this evolution equation shows that a single component of $\mathbf{V}$ evolves independently of the remaining *directions* according to an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by a single noise term along the same direction, when this component is small enough. Our focus, however, is on studying the limiting process for the components of $\mathbf{V}$ when these are of order of unity. Formally, we define a reference one-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, and show that the evolution of a single component of $\mathbf{V}$ converges (in probability) to this reference process as $N \to \infty$. This is properly stated in Sec. 4. It is proved in Sec. 5.

This proof is easily extended to any finite n-dimensional process $(n < N)$ constructed from n components of the original diffusion. Thus, we show that these processes are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) in the $N \to \infty$ limit, thereby proving the propagation of initial independence of n particles, viz. the “propagation of molecular chaos” or of “Boltzmann’s property” ([Kac56, Kac59], see the recent review by Mischler and Mouhot [MM11] for an extensive discussion), in Sec. 6.

In the appendix, we sketch the answer to a question raised by Kiessling and Lancellotti [KL06] on the explicit characterization of the many-body stochastic process.

3.. DIFFUSION ON A SPHERE

Consider a system of N'' particles. The particles exchange momentum according to some interaction between them, and total energy is assumed to be conserved.

We define the N''-dimensional vector of interest

$$\mathbf{V}'' = (V_1'', V_2'', \ldots, V_{N''}''), \tag{1}$$

where V_i'' represents the velocity of the i-th particle in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Conservation of energy requires the vector $\mathbf{V}''$ to assume values in the $(3N''-1)$-dimensional manifold of total energy $N''e_0$

$$\mathbb{M}_{e_0}^{3N''-1} = \left\{ \mathbf{V}' \,:\, \sum_{k=1}^{N''} \frac{|V_k''|^2}{2} = N''e_0 \right\}, \tag{2}$$

viz. the $(3N''-1)$-dimensional sphere with radius $\sqrt{2N''e_0}$.

Let $N = 3N''$ denote the number of degrees of freedom of the system. Define the N-dimensional vector

$$\mathbf{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_N), \tag{3}$$

where the V_i's are all the $N = 3N''$ components of the three-dimensional vectors V_i''. Starting from an arbitrary initial data, the evolution of vector $\mathbf{V}$ is modeled by the Brownian motion on the sphere, given by the stochastic differential equation [Br97]

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V} = \lambda \sigma(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \circ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}, \tag{4}$$

where λ is the noise amplitude,

$$\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{V}) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{V_i V_j}{|\mathbf{V}|^2} \tag{5}$$

is the projector onto the direction orthogonal to $\mathbf{V}$,

$$\mathbf{B} = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_N) \tag{6}$$

is the standard N-dimensional Brownian motion, and $\circ\,\mathrm{d}$ denotes the use of Stratonovich calculus [Øk10]. Although the Stratonovich view-point seems physically natural in the sense that it leads to the same chain rules as classical calculus [WZ65], we shall change view-points and prefer working with the Itô representation. This representation has some advantages to our purposes, for instance, Itô integrals are well known to be martingales [Øk10], which is crucial for stochastic analysis and computing expectations. The counterpart to this advantage is that Itô integrals do not transform so nicely under transformations, e.g. the chain rule for Itô differentials involves second order terms [Øk10, KPS03].

Therefore, we work with the spherical Brownian motion as the solution of the Itô differential equation [St71, Pr05]

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V} = \lambda \sigma(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B} - \lambda^2 \frac{N-1}{2} b(\mathbf{V})\, \mathrm{d}t, \tag{7}$$

where

$$b(\mathbf{V}) = \frac{\mathbf{V}}{|\mathbf{V}|^2}. \tag{8}$$

The process (4) remains on the surface of the sphere, and the last term on (7) may be thought of as pulling the process back onto the sphere [Br97]. Introducing $\theta := 2e_0/3$, we rescale time to $t' = \lambda^2 t/\theta$, the Wiener process to $\mathbf{B}' = \lambda \theta^{-1/2} \mathbf{B}$ and velocity to $\mathbf{V}' = \theta^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}$, so that, dropping primes, the system (7)-(8) reduces to

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{V} = \sigma(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B} - \frac{N-1}{2N} \mathbf{V}\, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{9}$$

4.. CONVERGENCE TO THE ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESS

We single out one component of $\mathbf{V}$ to study its evolution. Evidently, this evolution will have a contribution from all the other directions (the $N-1$ remaining dimensions), so we define

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V} - V_1 \hat{e}_1, \tag{10}$$

where $\hat{e}_i$ is the unit vector along the direction of the i-th dimension. A simple rewrite of (9) leads to the system

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}V_1 &= \sigma_1(V_1)\,\mathrm{d}B_1 - \frac{N-1}{2} b_1(V_1)\,\mathrm{d}t - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{U},V_1)\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_U, && (11)\\ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{U} &= \sigma_U(\mathbf{U})\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_U - \frac{N-1}{2}\mathbf{b}_U(\mathbf{U})\,\mathrm{d}t - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{U},V_1)\,\mathrm{d}B_1, && (12)\end{aligned}$$

given

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(V_1) = {}& 1 - \tfrac{V_1^2}{|\mathbf{V}|^2},\ \ b_1(V_1) = \tfrac{V_1}{|\mathbf{V}|^2},\ \ \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{U},V_1) = \tfrac{V_1}{|\mathbf{V}|^2}\mathbf{U},\\ (\sigma_U(\mathbf{U}))_{ij} = {}& \delta_{ij} - \tfrac{U_i U_j}{|\mathbf{V}|^2},\ \ \mathbf{b}_U(\mathbf{U}) = \tfrac{\mathbf{U}}{|\mathbf{V}|^2},\ \ \mathbf{B}_U = (B_2, \ldots, B_N) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with the constraint $|\mathbf{V}| = \sqrt{N}$.

One obtains a simple estimate of the evolution of V_1 by first looking at the limit of small values for V_1 ($V_1 \sim 0$). In such a limit,

$$\sigma_1(V_1) \sim 1,\ \ \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{U},V_1) \sim 0. \tag{14}$$

So, the resulting evolution equation would be

$$\mathrm{d}V_1 \simeq \mathrm{d}B_1 - \frac{N-1}{2|\mathbf{V}|^2} V_1\,\mathrm{d}t, \tag{15}$$

which reads, in the $N \to \infty$ limit,

$$\mathrm{d}V_1 \simeq \mathrm{d}B_1 - \frac{V_1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}t, \tag{16}$$

which has for solution the standard Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

Let us now look at the general evolution of V_1 in the $N \to \infty$ limit, i.e. we want to know what is the limiting process defined by (11) when V_1 is of order one. From the result (16), we can expect this process to, at least, be similar to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Our main result is expressed in the following

THEOREM 4..1. *Let V_1 be a 1-dimensional component of $\mathbf{V}$ defined by equation (9). For $|\mathbf{V}| = \sqrt{N}$, the process V_1 with initial data c_1 obeying (11) converges, in the $N \to \infty$ limit, to the 1-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with the same initial data and control B_1, and convergence is in probability in $C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ for any time $T > 0$.*

Of course, given $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, the finite-N model makes sense only for $N \geq c_1^2$.

5.. PROOF OF THEOREM 4..1

We start our analysis by defining the *reference* (or target for the limit) 1-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

$$\mathrm{d}V_1' = \alpha\,\mathrm{d}B_1 - \beta V_1'\,\mathrm{d}t, \quad \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{17}$$

coupled to (11) by its involving the same control B_1. With this, we introduce

$$v = V_1 - V_1'. \tag{18}$$

We compute the expectation of the square of this new processes to grasp the evolution of V_1 compared to the evolution of the reference process. So, we start by writing explicitly

(19)

$$v(t) = v_0 + \int_0^t \left[(\sigma_1(V_1) - \alpha)\,\mathrm{d}B_1 - \left(\frac{N-1}{2} b_1(V_1) - \beta V_1' \right) \mathrm{d}t - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{U}, V_1)\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_U \right],$$

where $v_0 = v(t=0)$.

We set the parameters $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1/2$. Thus, the expectation reads

(20)

$$\mathbb{E}[|v(t)|^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(v_0 - \int_0^t \left(\frac{v(s)}{2} - \frac{V_1(s)}{2N} \right) \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \frac{V_1^2}{N}\,\mathrm{d}B_1 - \int_0^t \frac{V_1}{N}\mathbf{U}\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_U \right)^2 \right],$$

which is readily bounded as

(21)

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[|v(t)|^2]}{3} \le \mathbb{E}[|v_0|^2] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left(\frac{v(s)}{2} - \frac{V_1(s)}{2N} \right) \mathrm{d}s \right)^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \frac{V_1}{N}\mathbf{V}\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B} \right)^2 \right].$$

Using the property $\mathrm{d}\langle B_i, B_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}\,\mathrm{d}t$, where $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ denotes the cross-variation process [Øk10], and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t f(s)\,\mathrm{d}B_i(s)\right] = 0$, for any function of time f, the last term in the r.h.s. can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \frac{V_1}{N} V_i\,\mathrm{d}B_i \right)^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \frac{V_1^2}{N^2} V_i^2\,\mathrm{d}s \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \frac{V_1^2}{N}\,\mathrm{d}s \right], \tag{22}$$

where we used Itô isometry in the intermediate step and recalled our constraint, $|\mathbf{V}| = \sqrt{N}$, in the last step.

Going back to (21), we further bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathbb{E}[|v(t)|^2]}{3} \\ \le\ & \mathbb{E}[|v_0|^2] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t v(s)\,\mathrm{d}s \right)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \frac{V_1(s)}{N}\,\mathrm{d}s \right)^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \frac{V_1^2}{N}\,\mathrm{d}s \right] \\ \le\ & \mathbb{E}[|v_0|^2] + \frac{t}{2}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[v(s)^2 \right] \mathrm{d}s + \left(\frac{t}{2N^2} + \frac{1}{N} \right) \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[V_1^2(s) \right] \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Schwartz inequality on the second and third terms in the r.h.s. To get a closed estimate, we must now control how fast $\mathbb{E}\left[V_1^2(s)\right]$ grows in time. For this purpose, a standard Itô calculation leads to

$$\mathrm{d}V_1^2 = 2V_1\,\mathrm{d}V_1 + \left(1 - \frac{V_1^2}{N}\right)\mathrm{d}t, \tag{23}$$

which gives

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbb{E}[V_1^2] = \left(1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}[V_1^2]}{N}\right)\mathrm{d}t \le \mathrm{d}t, \tag{24}$$

so that

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[V_1^2(s)\right]\mathrm{d}s \le \int_0^t (c_1^2 + s)\,\mathrm{d}s = c_1^2 t + \frac{t^2}{2}. \tag{25}$$

Thus, we find a bound on $\mathbb{E}[|v(t)|^2]$ independent of the component V_1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|v(t)|^2] &\le 3\mathbb{E}[|v_0|^2] + \frac{3t}{2}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[v(s)^2\right]\mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad +3\left(\frac{t}{2N^2} + \frac{1}{N}\right)(c_1^2 t + \frac{t^2}{2}) \qquad (26) \\ &\le 3\mathbb{E}[|v_0|^2] + \frac{3}{2N}(1 + \frac{T}{2N})(2c_1^2 T + T^2) + \frac{3T}{2}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[v(s)^2\right]\mathrm{d}s \qquad (27) \end{aligned}$$

over the interval $[0,T]$ for any $T > 0$. Gronwall's lemma [Di80] then yields the bound

$$\mathbb{E}[|v(t)|^2] \le \left[3\mathbb{E}[|v_0|^2] + \frac{3}{2N}(1 + \frac{T}{2N})(2c_1^2 T + T^2)\right] \mathrm{e}^{3Tt/2}. \tag{28}$$

For any finite t, we may set $T = t$ in this estimate. Therefore, in the $N \to \infty$ limit, we have for finite times

$$\mathbb{E}[|v(t)|^2] \le 3\mathbb{E}[|v_0|^2]\,\mathrm{e}^{3t^2/2}, \tag{29}$$

ensuring that, given initial conditions such that $v_0 = 0$, the process V_1 converges in probability to the reference *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck* process in one dimension over $[0,T]$ $\forall\, T < \infty$, thus proving the theorem. □

6.. MOTION OF n PARTICLES

This result can be generalized if we consider, instead of the single component V_1, a finite n-dimensional vector $\mathbf{U}_1$ made up of the first n components of $\mathbf{V}$. Define

$$\mathbf{U}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i \hat{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{U}_2 = \sum_{i=n+1}^{N} V_i \hat{e}_i, \tag{30}$$

for a fixed n, and rewrite (7) as

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{U}_1 = \sigma_1(\mathbf{U}_1)\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_1 - \frac{N-1}{2}\mathbf{b}_1(\mathbf{U}_1)\,\mathrm{d}t - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{U}_1,\mathbf{U}_2)\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_2, \tag{31}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{U}_2 = \sigma_2(\mathbf{U}_2)\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_2 - \frac{N-1}{2}\mathbf{b}_2(\mathbf{U}_2)\,\mathrm{d}t - \mathbf{D}^T(\mathbf{U}_1,\mathbf{U}_2)\cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_1, \tag{32}$$

where ^{T} denotes the transpose and

$$(\sigma_i)_{mn} = \delta_{mn} - \frac{(\mathbf{U}_i)_m(\mathbf{U}_i)_n}{|\mathbf{V}|^2}; \quad \mathbf{b}_i(\mathbf{U}_i) = \frac{\mathbf{U}_i}{|\mathbf{V}|^2}; \quad i = 1,2 \tag{33}$$

$$(\mathbf{D})_{mn} = \frac{(\mathbf{U}_1)_m(\mathbf{U}_2)_n}{|\mathbf{V}|^2} \tag{34}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_1 = (B_1, \dots, B_n), \quad \mathbf{B}_2 = (B_{n+1}, \dots, B_N). \tag{35}$$

From this point of view, we derive the following

THEOREM 6..1. *Let* $\mathbf{U}_1$ *be the* n*-dimensional component of* $\mathbf{V}$ *evolving according to equation (31). For* $|\mathbf{V}| = \sqrt{N}$*, the process* $\mathbf{U}_1$ *with initial data* $\mathbf{U}_1(0) = \mathbf{c}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *converges, in the* $N \to \infty$ *limit, to the* n*-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with initial data* $\mathbf{c}_1$ *and control* $\mathbf{B}_1$*, and convergence is in probability in* $C([0,T],\mathbb{R}^n)$ *for any time* $T > 0$.

Sketch of proof : The proof parallels the previous one, we need only define the reference n-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $\mathbf{U}'_1$. For this case, the form of the final bound on $\mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{u}(t)|^2]$, with $\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{U}_1(t) - \mathbf{U}'_1(t)$, is identical to (28), replacing v with $\mathbf{u}$ and c_1 with $\mathbf{c}_1$.

Note that $|\mathbf{c}_1|^2$ is independent of N (typically $O(n)$), which still leads to a vanishing contribution from the second term in the r.h.s. of the equation corresponding to (28). $\square$

7.. CONCLUSIONS

A 3-dimensional N''-particle system, in which particles interact stochastically due to a driving Brownian noise term, satisfying total energy ($N''e_0$) conservation is modeled by a diffusion process on the sphere $\mathbb{M}^{3N''-1}_{e_0}$. In the $N'' \to \infty$ limit, a single velocity component evolves independently of all the remaining directions for finite times. Furthermore, this component converges to a 1-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by the single noise component coupled directly to it. The role of friction in the usual Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model to keep the velocity component bounded is here played by total energy conservation and by the curvature of the sphere (equatorial bands have a larger area than polar caps).

Technically, convergence to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is proven using standard arguments (see section 5.2 of [Øk10]). In the language of

[KPS03], we obtain *mean-square convergence* for the velocity process in (29).

An immediate extension is given for the n-dimensional velocity process. In the $N \to \infty$ limit, these are shown to be i.i.d., converging to the n-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. If at initial time the n tagged components have independent data, the subsequent evolution of these n components preserves their independence, which amounts to propagating molecular chaos.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

BVR thanks the *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior* (CAPES) for financing his stay at Aix-Marseilles University through the *Programa Institucional de Bolsas de Doutorado Sanduíche no Exterior* (PDSE), process number 8510/11-3. YE thanks Michael Kiessling for drawing his attention to this problem. The authors thank Wendell Horton for fruitful discussions.

APPENDIX : TWO REPRESENTATIONS OF THE DIFFUSION ON THE SPHERE, AND A BINARY INTERACTION INTERPRETATION

Our representation of the diffusion on the sphere, with the Itô differential equation (9), describes the evolution of the velocity vector in terms of N independent brownian controls B_j, $1 \le j \le N$, each directly associated with one component of $\mathbf{V}$. Kiessling and Lancellotti [KL06] recall the representation of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ in the form

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} = \sum_{1 \le k < l \le N} (v_k \partial_{v_l} - v_l \partial_{v_k})^2 \tag{36}$$

and interpret the Fokker-Planck equation in terms of a model for their original $N' = 1 + N/3$ particles with two-body and one-body interactions preserving momentum and energy. Here we translate this interpretation to a simple stochastic process, limiting ourselves to the $N/3$ "effective particles" with interactions preserving only energy.

Diffusion on the sphere may be viewed as a succession of independent infinitesimal rotations. So, consider a family of $N(N-1)/2$ independent processes $\Omega_{kl}(.), 1 \le k < l \le N$, taken to be martingales with initial value 0 and cross-variation $\langle \Omega_{ij}, \Omega_{kl} \rangle(t) = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\ t/N$. Complement Ω to an antisymmetric matrix, with $\Omega_{ij} = -\Omega_{ji}$, so that the differentials $\mathrm{d}\Omega_{ij}$ act as infinitesimal rotation generators, and consider the process $\mathbf{V}'$ defined by an initial data $\mathbf{V}'(0)$ with $|\mathbf{V}'(0)| = \sqrt{N}$ and the Stratonovich differential equation

$$\mathrm{d}V_i' = \sum_j V_j' \circ \mathrm{d}\Omega_{ij}. \tag{37}$$

Its Fokker-Planck operator, acting on measures f on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}_{\sqrt{N}}$ with radius $\sqrt{N}$, is the sum $L = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N} R_{ij}^* R_{ij}$, where R_{ij} is the vector field associated with Ω_{ij}, and R_{ij}^* is its adjoint operator on $\mathbb{R}^N$. By (37), the vector field is $R_{ij} = N^{-1/2}(v_j'\partial_{v_i'} - v_i'\partial_{v_j'})$. Then $R_{ij}^* = -N^{-1/2}(\partial_{v_i'}(v_j' \,\cdot) - \partial_{v_j'}(v_i' \,\cdot)) = -R_{ij}$, so that $L = \frac{1}{2N}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$. As the generator determines the law of a diffusion, this proves that the process defined by (37) is the same as the one defined in Sec. 3.

Now, comparing directly the differential equations is also interesting. So, from the Stratonovich form (37), we deduce the Itô equation for $\mathbf{V}'$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}V_i' &= \sum_j V_j' \,\mathrm{d}\Omega_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_j \mathrm{d}\langle V_j', \Omega_{ij}\rangle \qquad (38)\\
&= \sum_j V_j' \,\mathrm{d}\Omega_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_j \sum_{k\neq j} V_k' \,\mathrm{d}\langle \Omega_{jk}, \Omega_{ij}\rangle\\
&= \sum_j V_j' \,\mathrm{d}\Omega_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_j \sum_{k\neq j} V_k' (-N^{-1}\delta_{jj}\delta_{ik}) \,\mathrm{d}t\\
&= \sum_j V_j' \,\mathrm{d}\Omega_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} V_i' \,(1 - N^{-1}) \,\mathrm{d}t. \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

For the first equality, we used the relation between Itô and Stratonovich integrals (see e.g. Sec. V.5 in [Pr05]) ; then we substitute the first term of the r.h.s. of (38) into the cross-variation term, and finally we use the explicit cross-variation of Ω.

In the final expression (39), the drift is exactly the one in (9). The first term appears as coupling the i-th component of vector $\mathbf{V}'$ with all other components, and it is linear with respect to $\mathbf{V}'$ while (9) involves a projection matrix σ orthogonal to $\mathbf{V}$. As this first term is the differential of a martingale, say $\mathrm{d}M_i' = \sum_j V_j' \mathrm{d}\Omega_{ij}$, we now show that it is equivalent (in law) to the first term in (9). Indeed, this martingale $\mathbf{M}'$ is further characterized by its cross-variation process, which has the differential

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\langle M'_i, M'_j\rangle &= \sum_k \sum_l V'_k V'_l \,\mathrm{d}\langle \Omega_{ik}, \Omega_{jl}\rangle \\
&= \sum_k \sum_l V'_k V'_l N^{-1}(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&= N^{-1}(\delta_{ij}\sum_k V'^2_k - V'_i V'_j)\,\mathrm{d}t \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}
$$

where one readily recognizes the projector entry $\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{V}')$.

For comparison, the first term in (9) defines a martingale $\mathbf{M}$ with $\mathrm{d}M_i = \sum_j \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{V})\,\mathrm{d}B_j$, so that its cross-variation satisfies

$$
\mathrm{d}\langle M_i, M_j\rangle = \sum_k \sum_l \sigma_{ik}\sigma_{jl}\,\mathrm{d}\langle B_k, B_l\rangle = \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{V})\,\mathrm{d}t \qquad (41)
$$

as follows from the cross-variation of $\mathbf{B}$ and the fact that $\sigma^2 = \sigma$.

As a result, $\mathbf{M}'$ and $\mathbf{M}$ have the same cross-variation when generated from the same trajectory (assuming $\mathbf{M}(0) = \mathbf{M}'(0) = \mathbf{0}$ for definiteness), as befits processes $\mathbf{V}'$ and $\mathbf{V}$ having the same law (incidentally, these calculations reformulate the fact that the Fokker-Planck generators are equal). However, the brownian drivers underlying both processes differ, as those for $\mathbf{V}$ are defined from translations along the N components directions while those for $\mathbf{V}'$ are associated with the rotation matrices acting on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Interestingly, the non-abelian nature of rotation compositions is irrelevant to our discussion.

Kiessling and Lancellotti [KL06] interpret their representation (A.5) of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere in terms of two types of contributions. Here, one associates with three components, $3k-2 \leq i \leq 3k$, the cartesian components of the velocity $\mathbf{V}''_k$ of particle k in $\mathbb{R}^3$, so that in the Ω_{ij}'s one distinguishes the terms associated with different particles ($\lceil i/3 \rceil \neq \lceil j/3 \rceil$ for the ceiling function $\lceil \cdot \rceil$), and terms coupling two velocity components of the same particle ($\lceil i/3 \rceil = \lceil j/3 \rceil$) so that the particle velocity vector just rotates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as under a gyroscopic force. As they observe, the gyroscopic contribution to each particle velocity drops out in the $N'' \to \infty$ limit.

In a forthcoming work, we shall return from this model with $N'' = N' - 1$ effective particles to the more physical model, with particle interactions conserving energy and momentum. We shall also eliminate the self-coupling gyroscopic terms in Ω and show that, in the limit $N'' \to \infty$, one recovers the same limit.

REFERENCES

[Br97] Brillinger, D.R., *A particle migrating randomly on a sphere*. J. Th. Probability **10** (1997) 429-443.

[CCL03] Carlen, E.A., Carvalho, M.C., Loss, M., *Determination of the spectral gap for Kac's master equation and related stochastic evolution*. Acta Math. **191** (2003) 1-54.

[Di80] Dieudonné, J., **Calcul infinitésimal**. Hermann (Coll. Méthodes), Paris, 1980.

[Ja10] Jacobs, K., **Stochastic processes for physicists: Understanding noisy systems**. Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 2010.

[Kac56] Kac, M., *Foundations of kinetic theory*, pp. 171–197 in **Proc. 3rd Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob.** (ed. Jerzy Neyman) **3**. University of California, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1956.

[Kac59] Kac, M., **Probability and related topics in physical sciences**. American mathematical society, Providence, 1959.

[KL06] Kiessling, M., Lancellotti, C., *The linear Fokker-Planck equation for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process as an (almost) nonlinear kinetic equation for an isolated N-particle system*. J. Stat. Phys. **123** (2006) 525-546 ; **130** (2008) 827.

[KPS03] Kloeden, P.E., Platen, E., Schurz, H., **Numerical solution of SDE through computer experiments**. Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[MM11] Mischler, S., Mouhot, C., *Kac's program in kinetic theory*, arXiv:1107.3251.

[Øk10] Øksendal, B., **Stochastic differential equations – An introduction with applications**. Sixth ed., Springer, Berlin, 2010.

[Pr05] Protter, Ph.E., **Stochastic integration and differential equations**. Second ed. version 2.1, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[St71] Stroock, D.W., *On the growth of stochastic integrals*. Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb. **18** (1971) 340-344.

[UO30] Uhlenbeck, G.E., Ornstein, L.S., *On the theory of the brownian motion*. Phys. Rev. **36** (1930) 823-841.

[WZ65] Wong, E., Zakai, M., *On the convergence of ordinary integrals to stochastic integrals*. Ann. Math. Stat. **36** (1965) 1560-1564.

B.V. RIBEIRO : INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, CP: 04455, 70919-970 - BRASÍLIA - DF, BRAZIL, CAPES FOUNDATION, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION OF BRAZIL, BRASÍLIA - DF 70040-020, BRAZIL, `brunovr@fis.unb.br`

B.V. RIBEIRO AND Y. ELSKENS : EQUIPE TURBULENCE PLASMA, CASE 321, PIIM, UMR 7345 CNRS, AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ, CAMPUS SAINT-JÉRÔME, FR-13397 MARSEILLE CEDEX 13, `yves.elskens@univ-amu.fr`